## *Thermochimica Acta, 29 (1979) 289-293*

**OElsevier Scientific PublishingCompany.Amsterdam - Printedinthe Netherlands** 

# **EFFECT OF STRUCTURE ON THE MECHANISM OF DEHYDRATION OF CLAY MINERALS**

**R.Sh. MIKBAIL, N.M. GUINDY and S. HANAFI Department of Chemistry, Faculty of science, Ain Shams University, Aobassia, Cairo (Egypt).** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

**The kinetics of the isothermal dehydration of two clay minerals, :ttspulgite and powdered vermiculite were studied both in vacua and in the presence of constant water vapour pressure. The mechanism of dehydration was found to be interfacial where diffusion plays a dominant role and it is called"activated diffusion". A comparison is made with some layered structure clay minerals.** 

### **INTRODUCTION**

**The low temperature dehydration of three layered.structure clay 1 minerals, namely, vermiculite sheets, montmorillonite and illite was the subject of a previous study in this laboratory 2,3 . The study was concerned with the kinetics and the mechanism of their dehydration. Additional results are presented in this paper on the kinetics and mechanism of dehydration of a chain structure clay mineral, attapulgite, and powdered vermiculite where the layered structure of vermiculite was altered by grinding.** 

### **EXPERIMENTAL and MATERIALS**

**Attapulgite was obtained from Georgia, U.S.A., The vermiculite samples were provided from Vermiculite Industrial Corp. of Egypt and was fractionated to 0.5 mu equivalent spherical diameter.** 

**The kinetics of.an isothermal dehydration and thermogravimetric analysis (t.g.a.1 were carried out by the use of silica spring of McBain-Bakr type of sensitivity 35 cm/g. Two series of experiments were conducted for each clay mineral, in vacua, and in the presence of water vapour of pressure 4.a nun Hg. The procedure for making an isothermal run is described elsewhere 2,3 .** 

**The t.g.a. curves were obtained by heating the samples 'from room temperature to 480°C with controlled linear rate of 2.0°/min.** 

#### **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**

# **Thermopravimetric analysis**

**T.g.a. for the two clay minerals studied is shown in Figure 1 where a complete loss of the total water content of the minerals could not be achieved in the temperature range investigated. Complete dehydration and dehydroxylation is achieved only above 800°C. The**  two thermograms show that the dehydration in vacuo is accelerated **below 120°C, whilst beyond that temperature, the presence of water vapour seems'to favour its removal, causing an intersection points between the two thermograms obtained in vacua and in water vapour. Similar observations were reported for vermiculite sheets, illite and montmorillonite. 2,3** 

# **Kinetics of isothermal dehydration**

**D-La. and t.g.a. results indicated** that the suitable temperature **ranges for the study-were 90-260°C for attapulgite and 200-350°C for powdered'vermiculite. A typical set of isotherms obtained is shown in Figure 2a for the dehydration of attapulgite heated in water vapour of pressure 4.8 mm Hg. The isotherms obtained were found to obey first order kinetics, Figure 2b. First order plots were also**  obtained for the dehydration of vermiculite sheets, montmorillonite and illite<sup>2,3</sup>. A summary of the velocity constants, k, and the extent of dehydration  $w_{\ell}/w_{\alpha}$  which indicates the ratio of the limiting amount of water lost (w) to the total water content of the sample (w<sub>o</sub>) obtained by ignition at 1000<sup>o</sup>C, are shown in Table 1. Table 1 also shows the (k) and  $w_{\rho} / w_{\rho}$  values obtained for vermiculite sheets, **montmorillonite and illite samples. The values obtained for the**  energy of activation were found to be  $13-21$  kJ mol $^{-1}$  for the two **clay minerals studied irrespective of the atmosphere prevailing during the dehydration.** 

**Table 1 shows that the values of the rate constant ,k, and the**  extent of dehydration ,wp/w<sub>o</sub>, are affected to various degrees by the **Or presence of water vapour for the clay minerals studied. Similar**  behaviour was reported for the dehydration of kaolinite and bentonite<sup>4,5</sup> **It might be argued in this respect that the presence** of **water vapour**  could lead to an acceleration of nucleation or nucleus growth<sup>6</sup>, and **would thus account for %he observed effect of increasing the extent of dehydration. This argument does not seem to be valid in the case of clay minerals, because it would lead to a conclusion that a higher** 



**Fig \_ .1 Thermogravimetric analysis of(a) attapulgite: (b) vermiculite powder, w weight of water lost at a particular temperature: w total**  water content of the sample. o in vacuo;  $\bullet$  in water vapour.



**Fig. 2 Isothermal dehydration of attapulgite in water vapour**  (a) rates: (b) first-order plots.  $w_t = weight$  of water lost at a time t; we =weight of water lost at a limiting value  $\ell$ .

dehydration rate is expected in the presence of water vapour. In **fact the presence of water vapour resulted in a decrease in the rate of reaction and an increase in the extent of dehydration. The explanation suggested is primarily related to the collapse of the** 

<sup>292</sup>\_

**TABLE 1 .\_** 



**structure during dehydration and the role played by water vapour is obviously a retarding effect to the mechanical collapse of the structure leading to the acceleration of the water lost. This argument was supported by Walker <sup>7</sup> . Such an interpretation would in fact lead to a loss of a-larger fraction of water without affecting the mechanism of the reaction as measured by the values of the energy of activation, Table 1, this is true for vermiculite.and attapulgite.**  As for montmorillonite and illite, the mechanism of dehydration was

found to be sensitive to the presence of water vapour.

**Interlayer water in clay minerals is held by adsorption forces8.**  Desorption of water is expected to be the rate determining step, **unless diffusion barriers are introduced, which would cause the mechanism to b= diffusion-controlled <sup>4</sup> \_** In the presence of water vapour, values of 50-65 k.J. mol.<sup>1</sup> were obtained for the energy of **activation for vermiculite sheets, montmorillonite and illite, which is comparable with the latent heat of evaporation of water. The desorption of water seems to be the rate determining step. The fact that in the presence of water vapour, the mechanism is not diffusion controlled seems to be associated with a mechanical effect, the water molecules impede the mechanical collapse of the structure on the ellimination of interlayer water, leading to an open structure with no diffusion barriers. The dehydration of an open structure might lead to an apparent increase in the extent of dehydration, representing apparent equilibrium in the adsorption-desorption of water molecules. In vacua, the mechanism of dehydration for montmorillonite and illite became diffusion controlled, Table 1, is attributed to' the collapse of the structure as a result of the contraction of the layer structure providing diffusion barriers to the desorption of water. Since ordinary gaseous diffusion has no energy of activation, thus in the present case the mechanism is "activated diffusion" or "surface diffusion" along the grain bountaries** of the **product.** 

**As already indicated, a layer structure is absent in attapulgite, and accordingly the "activated desorption" mechanism is absent as well and the "activated diffusion" mechanism became the rate determining step. As a support for this view, when the layer structure** of **vermiculite was destroyed upon grinding, the value of the energy** of **activation was reduced** to 15 k.J. mol. **-1 ,** needed for the surface diffusion mechanism.

#### **REFERENCES**

- **1 K.E.Grim,"Clay Minerology" McGraw Hi11,1953,27.**
- **2 R.Sh.Mikhail,N.M.Guindy and S.Hanafi,J. Applied Chem.Lond.20,1970,346.**
- **3 R.Sh.Mikhail,N~M.Guindy,J.Appl~~em.,Biotechnol.,2l,l97l,ll3.**
- **4 J.L.Evans,and J\_White,"Kinetic of high-temperature processes",l959,301. (Conf. Dedham, Mass 1958),Chem.Abstr. 54,1960,12521g.**
- **5 J-Birch Holt,I.B.Cuttler and M.E.Wadsworth,J.Am.Ceram.So&.,45,1962,133\_**
- **6 W.G.Garner,"Chemistry of Solid State", l955,219(London Patterworth Scientific Publications).**
- **7 G\_F\_Walker,Nature,77,1956,239.**
- **8 C.M,Woodruff,Proc.Am.Soc.Soc.Soil Sci.,15,1950,54.**